

JOSEPH W. NEWCOMB.

[To accompany bill H. R. No. 435.]

MAY 25, 1842.

Mr. PARMENTER, from the Committee on Revolutionary Claims, submitted the following

### REPORT:

*The Committee on Revolutionary Claims, to whom was referred the petition of Joseph W. Newcomb, report:*

That the said Newcomb sets forth in his petition, hereto annexed, that he is the only surviving heir of the late General Joseph Warren, and claims the seven years' half pay provided by Congress August 24, 1780, to the widow and orphan children of officers killed in the service.

The committee are aware that it would be considered out of place, in a report on a claim of this description, to dwell upon the importance of the great battle in which General Warren lost his life; but they may be allowed to remark, that the character of that distinguished soldier, and elevated devoted martyr to American liberty, has naturally induced a strong desire in the committee to ascertain whether, under the resolutions of Congress, any claim remains unsatisfied.

The promise under the resolution of August 24, 1780, is founded upon that of May 15, 1778, and provides that the seven years' half pay, therein granted to the "military officers commissioned by Congress" who might continue in service during the war, should be extended to "the widow of those officers who *have* died, or shall hereafter die, in the service; to commence from the time of such officer's death, and continue for the term of seven years; or, if there be no widow, or in case of her death or intermarriage, the said half pay shall be given to the orphan children of the officer dying as aforesaid, if he shall have left any."

On the 21st February, 1793, a report was made to Congress by H. Knox, Secretary of War, in favor of the allowance of seven years' half pay to the widows and orphans of the officers who were killed at Bunker's Hill; but it does not appear to have received the sanction of Congress. The danger of establishing a precedent which would lead to immense and probably unequal expenditure of the public money has undoubtedly deterred Congress from including in the benefits of the resolution of August 24, 1780, any cases not directly provided for under the title of "military officers commissioned by Congress."

In the case of General Warren, however, there has been special legislation, which places the claim of the petitioner on a different ground; and it becomes important to inquire how far the resolutions of the Continental

Congress, in relation to the children of General Warren, have been carried into effect.

On the 8th of April, 1777, Congress passed a resolution in the following words:

*"Resolved, That the eldest son of General Joseph Warren be educated, from this time, at the expense of the United States."*

Under this resolution, the committee find that the sum of twenty-nine hundred and fifty dollars and eighty-four cents has been paid, which appears to be in full of the requirements of the resolution.

On the 1st of July, 1780, Congress passed the following resolution:

*"Whereas Congress have thought proper to erect a monument to the memory of Major General Joseph Warren, in consideration of his distinguished merit and bravery, and to make provision for the education of his eldest son: and whereas it appears no adequate provision can be made out of his private fortune for the education and maintenance of his three younger children: Therefore,*

*"Resolved, That it be recommended to the Executive of Massachusetts Bay to make provision for the maintenance and education of the said three children of the late Major General Joseph Warren.*

*"Resolved, That Congress will defray the expense thereof, to the amount of the half pay of a major general, to commence at the time of his death, and continue until the youngest of the said children shall be of age."*

Under these resolutions the United States paid, from time to time, various sums, amounting in all to \$9,301 85.

In a settlement made with Governor Hancock, (see appendix, paper A.) it appears that, instead of the half pay of a major general, the accounting officers of the Treasury Department allowed only the half pay of a colonel of infantry; and, after having given a credit of the half pay of a major general, January 23, 1782, they recharged, September 10, 1782, the difference between that and the half pay of a colonel; which course was continued by the Government until the youngest child became of age.

The committee have made inquiry at the Treasury Department (see papers B, C, D, and E) for explanation why the deduction was made, and can obtain nothing satisfactory. They have also made inquiry of the Secretary of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, but have received no information from that source having any bearing on the question; and, as the resolution is explicit that Congress would defray the expense to the amount of the half pay of a major general, the committee cannot see the propriety of the deduction. The settlement with the Treasury Department was made by Governor Hancock, of Massachusetts, and the committee have endeavored to ascertain whether he protested against the adjustment as made; but on that point no information can be procured; neither have they any evidence of his assent, beyond what may be inferred from his receiving the money. This is not deemed important against the express terms of the resolutions of Congress, as the Governor, acting as he did in his official capacity, would not, probably, feel it his duty to engage in a controversy with the Treasury Department in relation to the decision.

The Third Auditor, in his letter to one of the committee, (see appendix E,) says: "I would, however, respectfully suggest, whether the resolution of Congress of August 25, 1780, referred to by Mr. Parmenter, was not intended to regulate the half pay for life, or commutation of five years' full pay in lieu thereof, allowed to the general officers of the army serving to

the end of the war, by resolution of 28th November, 1780, and not to the case of pensions provided for by the act of the 15th May, 1778; and hence the alteration in the settlement alluded to."

The Third Auditor, it is very clear, is mistaken in supposing, at the present time, there is any doubt of the intention of Congress.

On the 28th February, 1785, the true construction was declared by a resolution of Congress, which the Third Auditor must have overlooked. It is in these words: "*Resolved*, That the act of the 25th August, 1780, on the half pay of general officers, ought to refer, and the same is hereby declared to refer, as well to the resolution of the next preceding day, relative to the same subject, as to that of the 15th May, 1778."

The act of 25th August, 1780, referred to, is in the following words: "*Resolved*, That the half pay of general officers be proportioned to their pay;" and it will be readily seen how the accounting officer of the Department fell into the error on settlement with Governor Hancock; for, even at the present day, it will be observed that the Third Auditor has committed the same mistake. The resolution of May 15, 1778, of which that of August 24, 1780, was an extension, provides: "That no general officer of the cavalry, artillery, or infantry, shall be entitled to receive more than one-half part of the pay of a colonel of such corps, respectively." It was the intention of the resolution of August 25, 1780, to repeal that provision, but it does not appear to have been so construed; and Congress, therefore, on the 28th February, 1785, passed the resolution before cited, fully settling the true construction.

The special acts in favor of the children of General Warren have been considered as resting upon the same principles with the half pay granted by the resolution of August 24, 1780; and, therefore, any amount due and unpaid descends to the heirs of the children of the officer, according to the decision of Congress in other cases.

As Congress granted the half pay for the maintenance and education of the three younger children, it may be proper to inquire whether the half pay of a major general would exceed the amount required for those purposes. On this point the committee have but little information. There is one item in the account (A) which would seem to show that the bills actually paid for eighteen months, amounted to \$1,569 64, which would be more than the half pay of a major general.

There can be no doubt that the actual expense of maintaining and educating the three children, as intended by Congress, would fully equal the amount allowed by the resolution. The education alone of the eldest son amounted to \$2,950 54.

Although the committee are of opinion that the limitation clause in the resolution of May 15, 1778, could not with propriety, even if in full force, have been applied to the special resolutions in favor of the children of General Warren, yet, as the Treasury Department took a different ground, and, in the settlement of September, 1782, adjusted it upon the erroneous construction which was then given, this review of the proceedings of Congress, in relation to the half pay of general officers, and their widows and orphans, is presented, to remove the possibility of doubt. That the general resolutions of August 24, 1780, grew out of the resolutions of July 1, 1780, in favor of the children of General Warren, is not improbable; and hence the accounting officers of the Department, having fallen into a mistake in the construction of the resolution of August 25, 1780, considered the general

principle of half pay as applicable to the special acts for the children of General Warren. The resolution of February 28, 1785, declared the whole erroneous.

Placing the claim upon the same principles of the half pay provided by the resolution of August 24, 1780, for widows and orphans, there is due to the heirs of the minor children of General Warren the amount of the difference between the half pay of a colonel and that of a major general, for such time as was expressed in the resolutions of July 1, 1780.

In estimating the amount due, the committee have not, acting in conformity with the rules which have governed the decision in other similar cases, allowed interest. The claim has not been presented until recently; and therefore there has been no time lost by the delay of Congress, and interest cannot be included without violating what is deemed a correct rule, and establishing a bad precedent.

The resolutions of Congress provide that the half pay of a major general should be continued until the youngest child should be of age. A question may be made whether the full half pay should not be continued up to that period, although two of the children had attained a majority.

It appears to the committee that a proper construction would be to deduct a proportion of the pay as each child became of age, as the terms used by Congress indicate their intention to confine it to the minority of the children.

The three younger children became of age, as nearly as can be ascertained, as follows: Elizabeth, March 26, 1790; Richard, September, 1791; Mary, February 26, 1793. The amount therefore would be—

14 years 9 months and 10 days, at \$83 per month	-	-	\$14,718 67
18 months, at \$55 33	-	-	995 94
17 months, at \$27 67	-	-	470 39
			<hr/>
			16,185 00
Deduct amount paid	-	-	9,301 85
			<hr/>
			6,883 15
			<hr/>

Papers F, G, H, I, and J, are copies of various accounts elucidating the report.

With these views, the committee, as a claim of strict justice, without reference to the peculiar merits of the case, and the considerations which present themselves to the gratitude of the American people, present a bill in favor of the petitioner.

*To the honorable the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America :*

The memorial of Joseph Warren Newcomb, of Springfield, in the State of Massachusetts, respectfully sheweth : That your memorialist is the grandson and the only representative and heir-at-law of that chivalric soldier and patriot, Major General Joseph Warren, who commanded the American army in the battle of Bunker's Hill, and who was killed in that battle. He was the first great martyr who sealed the liberty and independence of his country with his blood and his life.

So sensible were Congress of the extraordinary merit of General War-



ren, and of the value of the heroic example he had set to the American soldiery in the very outset of the contest, and of the loss his country and the cause of liberty had sustained in his death, that on the 8th of April, 1777, a resolution passed that honorable body, that a monument be erected in Boston to his memory, with an inscription "that he devoted his life to the liberties of his country, and, in bravely defending them, fell an early victim in the battle of Bunker's Hill, June 17, 1775. The Congress of the United States, as an acknowledgment of his services and distinguished merit, have erected this monument to his memory."

Your memorialist is sorry, however, to say, that the monument thus ordered to be erected to the memory of one who, it is declared by Congress, "devoted his life to the liberties of his country, and, in bravely defending them, fell an early victim," has never yet been erected, or commenced to be erected; nor does he believe that any provision has ever been made for its construction, although it is now upwards of sixty-two years since it was voluntarily ordered to be done by the supreme power of the nation.

At the same time that the memory of General Warren was thus honored, and ordered to be perpetuated in marble to distant generations, as a further evidence of their sense of his merit, and of the loss his country had sustained, it was also resolved in Congress, that his eldest son be educated at the expense of the United States. This latter order, your memorialist is happy to say, was faithfully executed.

Your memorialist is advised that, on the 24th August, 1780, Congress passed a resolution granting seven years' half pay to the widows and children of officers who died or were killed in service.

The pension granted by this resolution was never paid, or any part thereof, to the orphan children of General Warren, in their lifetime; nor has it subsequently been made good to their descendants. General Warren left four children—Joseph, Richard, Elizabeth, and Mary. The sons died soon after coming to maturity, and unmarried; the eldest daughter, Elizabeth, married the late General Arnold Welles, of Boston, and died without issue; the youngest married Richard E. Newcomb, of Greenfield, Massachusetts, and died leaving but one child, your memorialist.

For many years a rigid adherence to the letter of the statutes of limitation\* prevented any application for the pension or half pay herein mentioned; but of late, a return of a right feeling and a more liberal spirit on the part of the Government emboldens your memorialist now to claim the payment of the seven years' half pay hereinbefore referred to. He therefore respectfully prays your honorable bodies to order that the same may now be allowed and paid to him, with the interest thereon.

Surely if the descendants of any officer who perished in the American Revolution can now rightfully claim the fulfilment of a promise made by Congress, the descendants of General Warren may make that claim with high hopes of not being denied.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

JOSEPH W. NEWCOMB.

SPRINGFIELD, *January 2, 1840.*

## A.

*Half pay of a major general, granted by Congress, 1st of July, 1780, for the support of the children of Major General Warren, deceased.*

DR.			CR.		
1782.			1782.		
January 23	-	To contingent expenses: bills presented for the education and maintenance of his children -	January 23	By army: half pay granted, per resolution of 1st of July, 1780, from the 17th June, 1775, to 17th of October, 1781, at \$83 per month -	\$6,308 00
September 10		To army: for this sum, the difference between 76 months' pay, at \$83, as computed on the 23d January, 1782, and \$37 50 per month, at which rate the settlement ought to have been made, now rectified, &c. -	September 10	By Doctor John Warren, guardian of Joseph, General Warren's oldest son -	108 75
		3,458 00	September 10	By specie, arising from old emission, (for depreciation) -	561 15
September 13		To His Excellency John Hancock: the balance due to the three youngest children of General Warren -	September 10	By army: half pay, 17th October, 1781, to 31st of March, 1782, at 37 50 per month -	206 22
		2,156 48			
		7,184 12			7,184 12

B.

## COMMITTEE ROOM OF REVOLUTIONARY CLAIMS,

February 24, 1842.

SIR: On the 1st July, 1780, Congress passed resolutions providing for the support of the three younger children of Major General Joseph Warren, and agreed to pay the expense until the youngest child should be of age, provided it should not exceed the half pay of a major general. Reference to the resolutions will show the precise terms.

In the account, as procured from the books of the Register of the Treasury, under date of January 23, 1782, there is a credit of \$6,308, being the half pay of a major general at \$83 per month, for a certain time therein specified. On the 10th September, 1782, there is a charge against this account of \$3,458, reducing the half pay from \$83 per month to \$37 50 per month.

The object of my inquiry is to ascertain, if practicable, why, as the resolutions were explicit that the pay should be equal to that of a major general, the pay was reduced. I am aware of the resolution of May 15, 1778, limiting the highest rate to that of a colonel, but it does not seem to me to apply to this case. There was, moreover, a resolution, August 25, 1780, providing that the half pay of general officers should be proportioned to their pay.

I have addressed this letter to you, as I do not know precisely to which of the accounting officers, or to which bureau, I should apply.

I am, with great respect, your obedient servant,

WM. PARMENTER.

Hon. WALTER FORWARD,

*Secretary of the Treasury.*

C.

TREASURY DEPARTMENT, March 2, 1842.

SIR: I have the honor to enclose, herewith, in reply to your letter of the 24th ult., in regard to resolution of Congress providing for the support of children of Major General Warren, the reports of the Register of the Treasury and Third Auditor, embracing all the information which the Department is enabled to afford.

I am, very respectfully, your obedient servant,

W. FORWARD,

*Secretary of the Treasury.*

Hon. WM. PARMENTER,

*Member of Committee on Revolutionary Claims, House of Reps.*

D.

TREASURY DEPARTMENT,

*Register's Office, February 26, 1842.*

SIR: Upon examining the records of this office, under date of the 10th September, 1782, I find the following entry of the credit referred to in the letter of the honorable Mr. Parmenter, viz :

"Half pay of a major general granted by Congress the 1st July, 1780, for support of the children of the late Major General Warren, deceased.

*Dr. to army.*

For three thousand four hundred and fifty-eight dollars, the difference between 76 months' pay at \$83, as computed on settlement of accounts the 23d January, 1782, No. 2104, and \$37½ per month, at which rate the settlement ought to have been made and rectified at the Treasury the 22d ultimo, as per account settled by William Ramsay, No. 2607, \$3,458."

The records afford no further information on the point suggested by Mr. Parmenter, and the account referred to, No. 2607, if it presented any reason or argument for the reduction, other than what is stated in the entry, has not been preserved.

I have the honor to be, sir, your obedient servant,

T. L. SMITH.

HON. WALTER FORWARD,

*Secretary of the Treasury.*

E.

TREASURY DEPARTMENT,

*Third Auditor's Office, February 28, 1842.*

SIR: I had the honor this morning of receiving the letter of the honorable Wm. Parmenter, addressed to you under date of 24th instant, on the subject of the grant made by the resolution of Congress of 1st July, 1780, for the support of the children of Major General Joseph Warren, stating that the resolution provides that Congress will defray the expense thereof to the amount of the half pay of a major general; that in the account as procured from the books of the Register of the Treasury, under date of 3d January, 1782, there is a credit of \$6,308, being the half pay of a major general, at \$83 per month, for a certain time therein specified; that on 10th September, 1782, there is a charge against the account of \$3,458, reducing the half pay to \$37 50 per month; and the object of his inquiry appears to be, to ascertain, if practicable, why, as the resolutions were explicit that the pay should be equal to that of a major general, the pay was reduced; that he is aware of the resolution of 15th May, 1778, limiting the highest rate to that of a colonel, but that it does not seem to him to apply to the case of General Warren; that there was, moreover, a resolution of August 25, 1780, providing that the half pay of general officers should be proportioned to their pay; and the letter of Mr. Parmenter you refer to me for report, if the records of my office can furnish the information desired.

I have accordingly the honor to state, that the subject did not appertain to the office of the commissioner of army accounts, whose records are in this office; I cannot, therefore, state the cause why the pay was reduced. I would, however, respectfully suggest whether the resolution of Congress of 25th August, 1780, referred to by Mr. Parmenter, was not intended to regulate the half pay for life, or commutation of five years' full pay in lieu thereof, allowed to the general officers of the army serving to the end of



the war, by resolution of 28th November, 1780, and not to the cases of pensions provided for by the act of the 15th May, 1778; and hence the alteration in the settlement alluded to.

The letter of Mr. Parmenter, together with that of the Register of the Treasury, addressed to you, is returned.

With great respect, your most obedient servant,

PETER HAGNER, *Auditor.*

Hon. WALTER FORWARD,  
*Secretary of the Treasury.*

F.

*Doctor John Warren.*

Dr.

Cr.

1779. June 29			1780. Nov. 7		
	To Treasurer, for warrant in his favor, for one thousand seven hundred and forty-three dollars and sixty-ninetieths of a dollar, in full for his account to April, 1779, for the education of Joseph Warren, son of the late Major General Warren, as allowed by the State of Massachusetts Bay, and ordered to be paid to David H. Cunningham	- \$1,743 60		By contingent expenses for an entry made in these books the 29th of June, 1779, for one thousand seven hundred and forty-three dollars and sixty-ninetieths of a dollar, being the amount of the account of Doctor J. Warren, for the education of Joseph Warren, son of the late Major General Warren, to April, 1779, as settled by the State of Massachusetts Bay, and discharged by a warrant on the treasurer, and carried to the debit of Doctor Warren, whereas it ought to have been a contingent charge, which occasions this counter entry	- \$1,743 60
		1,743 60			

Remark by the Committee on Revolutionary Claims: This account appears to be merely cross entries to correct an error.

G.

*Half pay of a major general, granted by Congress 1st July, 1780, for the support of the children of Major General Warren, deceased.*

Dr.				Cr.
1782.		1782.		
Jan. 23	<p>To contingent expenses, specie :            For sundry sums in the old emissions, new emissions, and specie, heretofore carried to old emissions, &amp;c., for the payment of bills presented, for the maintenance and education of said children, the value credited by the clerk of accounts in their state of the pay of a major general, from the 17th June, 1775, to the 17th October, 1781, the 7th inst. -</p>		<p>By army, in specie :            For the support of the children of Major General Warren, deceased, for six thousand three hundred and eight dollars, specie, the amount of said half pay from the 17th June, 1775, to the 17th October, 1781, at \$83 per month - -</p>	
Sept. 10	<p>To sundries, to army :            For three thousand four hundred and fifty-eight dollars, the difference betwixt 76 months' pay at \$83, as computed on settlement of accounts the 23d January, 1782, No. 2104, and \$37½ per month, at which rate the settlement ought to have been made, and rectified at the Treasury the 22d ultimo, as per account settled per Wm. Ramsey, No. 2607 - - -            To half pay, &amp;c., new account :            Fortwo thousand one hundred and fif-</p>	<p>\$1,569 64          3,458 00</p>	<p>By Doctor John Warren, guardian of Joseph, eldest son of the late Major General Warren, deceased :            For one hundred and eight dollars and seventy-five ninetieths, specie, charged in these books, blotter, p. 23, the 23d January, to the children of the late Maj. Gen. Warren; whereas Congress, by their act of the 8th of April, 1777, provided for the education of the eldest son, distinct from the other children of the late General Warren; this sum being the value of one thousand seven hundred and forty-three dollars and sixty ninetieths, old emissions, paid for his maintenance and</p>	<p>\$6,308 00</p>

ty-six dollars and forty-eight ninetieths, the balance

education

	ty-six dollars and forty-eight ninetyeths, the balance that is due the estate to the 31st March, 1782, as appears by a state of the account as settled at the Treasury the 22d ultimo - - -	5,614 48		education, is a proper charge against the guardian - -	108 75
Sept. 13	To his Excellency John Hancock, of Boston : For two thousand one hundred and fifty-six dollars and forty-eight ninetyeths, the balance due to the three youngest children of the late Major General Warren, deceased, and for which Jno. Warren, Esq., as guardian of the said youngest children, drew an order in favor of his Excellency John Hancock, dated Boston, May 3, 1782, and entered to the credit of the said Hancock upon the Comptroller's order, dated 13th September, 1782 - -	2,156 48	Sept. 10	By sundries, in specie : For seven hundred and sixty-seven dollars and thirty-seven ninetyeths, for pay since the 17th October, 1781, and for an error on the settlement of the account the 7th Jan., 1782. Specie arising from old and new emissions reduced, &c. : For five hundred hundred and sixty-one dollars and fifteen ninetyeths, the difference betwixt twelve hundred and sixty-two dollars and fifty-six ninetyeths, new emissions, entered as specie on the settlement of account the 7th January, 1782, in these books, the 23d blotter, p. 23, and the real value thereof as liquidated at the Treasury, the 22d instant -	561 15
				Army : For the half pay of a major general from the 17th of October, 1781, to the 31st March, 1782, being 5 months 15 days, at \$37 50 per month - - -	206 22

## G—Continued.

Dr.					Cr.
1782.			1782. Sept. 10	By old account : For two thousand one hundred and fifty-six dollars and forty-eight ninetieths, the balance that is due the estate to the 31st March, 1782, as appears by a state of the account as settled at the Treasury the 22d ultimo - - -	308 33  2,156 48

## H.

*Dr. John Warren, guardian of Joseph, eldest son of the late Major General Warren, deceased.*

Dr.					Cr.
1782. April 22  Sept. 10	To Michael Hillegas : For three hundred and fifty-three dollars and fifty-two ninetieths - To half pay allowed to the children of Major Gen. Warren, deceased : For one hundred and eight dollars and seventy-five ninetieths -	\$353 52  108 75  \$462 37	1789. Jan. 27	By pensions, annuities, and grants : For the following entries, which should, in the first instance, have been thus charged in the public books : For so much entered 22d April, 1782, blotter, page 254, to which refer - For so much entered 10th Sept., 1782, blotter, page 638, see -	\$353 52  108 75  \$462 37



Dr.

*His Excellency John Hancock, of Boston.*

Cr.

1782, Sept. 13	To Michael Hillegas, treasurer : For a warrant drawn this day by the superintendent of finance, in favor of Wm. Bingham, Esq., for four hundred dollars, to discharge an order drawn upon the superintendent of finance, by said Hancock, the 9th of May last, in favor of Isaac Smith, payable to Wm. Bingham, Esq., being said Hancock's subscription to the relief of the South Carolina sufferers	\$400 00	1782, Sept. 13	By his Excellency John Hancock, of Boston, Massachusetts Bay : For two thousand one hundred and fifty-six dollars and forty-eight ninetieths, the balance due to the three youngest children of the late Major General Warren, deceased, and for which John Warren, Esq., as guardian of said youngest children, drew an order in favor of his Excellency John Hancock, dated Boston, May 3, 1782, and entered to the credit of said Hancock upon the comptroller's order, dated September 13, 1782	\$2,156 48
1782, Oct. 17	To Tench Francis, agent, &c. : For his receipt to said Francis, dated October 9, 1781, for two thousand two hundred and two French crowns, equal to \$2,446 60 Also for one French guinea and one French crown that were left on the floor in Mr. Hancock's room, for which there is no receipt	5 64 2,452 34	1783, April 8	By Michael Hillegas, treasurer : For a warrant drawn this day by the superintendent of finance, on said Hancock, in favor of the treasurer, for six hundred and ninety-five dollars and seventy-six ninetieths, being balance due the United States, agreeable to an extract of his account on these books, certified by me yesterday to the superintendent of finance, and referred to by him in the warrant now entered, the receipt whereof the treasurer acknowledges this day	695 76
		\$2,852 34			\$2,852 34

Rep. No. 754.

J.

*Statement of payments made by the late and present Governments of the United States, for the maintenance and education of the late General Joseph Warren's eldest son, and also of his other children, in conformity to the several resolutions of Congress therein referred to.*

1781, October	9	General Warren's children, paid to John Hancock	- - - - -	\$2,452 34
1781, Nov'ber	15	General Warren's children, paid John Scollay	- - - - -	198 23
1782, April	22	Paid John Warren for education of Joseph Warren, son of General Warren	- - - - -	353 52
1782, Sept'ber	13	Paid John Warren for education of three youngest children of General Warren	- - - - -	400 00
1783, January	14	Paid Samuel Osgood, attorney to Dr. John Warren, guardian to children of Gen. Warren	- - - - -	337 45
1783, April	4	Paid Samuel Osgood, attorney to Dr. John Warren, guardian to children of Gen. Warren, per resolution 1st July, 1780	- - - - -	558 85
1784, January	21	Paid Samuel Osgood, attorney to Dr. John Warren, guardian to three youngest children of General Warren, per resolution 1st July, 1780	- - - - -	*337 45
1784, April	3	Paid to Dr. John Warren, guardian to children of General Warren, for education, &c., of Joseph Warren, eldest son of General Warren	- - - - -	*398 24
1784, April	3	Paid to Dr. John Warren, for educating and support of Joseph Warren, eldest son of General Warren, from 1st January to 31st December, 1784	- - - - -	*443 36
1784, April	3	Paid Dr. John Warren, for maintenance and education of three youngest children of Gen. Warren, from 1st January to 31st December, 1784	- - - - -	450 00
1785, July	9	Paid Jonathan Burrall, assignee of John Warren, guardian to the children of General Warren, for board and education of Joseph Warren, eldest son, from 1st January to 30th June last	- - - - -	261 78
1786, March	7	Paid Samuel Hodgdon, attorney to Dr. John Warren, guardian to the children of General Warren, for half pay of a major general, from 1st January to 31st December, 1785, per resolution 1st July, 1780	- - - - -	450 00
1786, April	1	Paid Joseph Nourse, assignee of John Warren, guardian to children of General Warren, for education and maintenance of Joseph Warren, eldest son of General Warren, from 1st July to 31st December, 1785, per act 8th April, 1777	- - - - -	236 30

1786, July	27	Paid Samuel Hodgdon, attorney to Dr. John Warren, being the half pay allowed for the education of the younger children of Gen. Warren, from 1st Jan. to 30th June, 1786 -	225 00
1787, March	21	Paid Dr. John Warren, guardian to the children of General Warren, education, clothing, &c., of Joseph Warren, eldest son, from 1st January to 30th June, 1786, per act 8th April, 1777 -	248 89
1787, March	21	Paid Dr. John Warren, for the three younger children's maintenance and education, from 1st July to 31st December, 1786, per resolution 1st July, 1780 -	225 00
1787, August	2	Paid Joseph Nourse, attorney to Dr. John Warren, executor of the estate of Gen. Warren, for half pay from 1st January to 30th June, 1787, of a major general, per act 1st July, 1780, for the support of, &c., three younger children of General Warren -	225 00
1787, October	16	Paid John Warren, guardian, eldest son of General Warren, for education of said eldest son for one year, from 4th July, 1786, per act 11th May, 1787 -	500 00
This sum paid under the old Government			8,302 01
1789, Dec'ber	28	Paid John Warren, guardian of General Warren's younger children, (per resolution 1st July, 1780,) for maintenance, &c., from July, 1787, to July, 1788 -	450 00
1790, February	1	Paid John Warren, guardian of General Warren's eldest son, (per resolutions of 8th April, 1777, and 4th June, 1788,) for maintenance, &c., from July, 1787, to July 1788 -	400 00
1790, April	13	Paid John Warren, guardian of General Warren's youngest children, (per resolution 1st July, 1780,) for maintenance, &c., from 1st July, 1788, to 1789 -	450 00
1790, Nov'ber	20	Paid John Warren, guardian of General Warren's youngest children, (per resolution 1st July, 1780,) for their maintenance from July, 1789, to July, 1790 -	450 00
1791, July	7	Paid John Warren, guardian of General Warren's youngest children, for their maintenance from 1st July, 1790, to July, 1791 -	450 00
1792, July	14	Paid John Warren, guardian of General Warren's youngest children, (per resolution 1st July, 1780,) for their maintenance from July, 1791, to July, 1792 -	450 00
1793, May	3	Paid John Warren, guardian of General Warren's youngest children, (per resolution 1st July 1780,) for their maintenance from July, 1792, to 16th March, 1793 -	318 75
			11,270 76

*Remarks by the Committee on Revolutionary Claims.*

The first item in this account, \$2,452 34, it will be seen, was a charge to Governor Hancock, and should not be included in the account against the three younger children. The true amount is \$2,156 48, which appears in paper A. The charge of \$400 in this account, September 13, is also an error, as appears by account marked I.